

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**  
**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**  
**No. 21-1677V**

ELIZABETH SEARS,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: May 23, 2024

*Jonathan Joseph Svitak, Shannon Law Group, P.C., Woodridge, IL, for Petitioner.*

*Benjamin Patrick Warder, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

**DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS**<sup>1</sup>

On August 9, 2021, Elizabeth Sears filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration as the result of an influenza vaccine received on September 20, 2020. Petition, ECF No. 1. On March 4, 2024, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 44.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$27,880.42 (representing \$27,275.90 in fees plus \$604.52 in costs). Application for Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed March 22, 2024, ECF No. 49 and 50. Furthermore, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no personal out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 3. Respondent filed no response thereafter.

Respondent reacted to the motion on April 5, 2024, indicating that he is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Motion at 2-3, ECF No. 52.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's requests and find a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate, for the reasons listed below.

### ANALYSIS

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs to successful claimants. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. See *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. See *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private

practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission.” *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 434.

### ATTORNEY FEES

The hourly rates requested for attorneys and paralegals through the end of 2023 are reasonable and consistent with prior determinations and shall therefore be adopted herein. The 2024 requested rates for Attorney Svitak and Attorney Hess, however, require adjustment.

Attorney Svitak was previously awarded \$410 per hour for time billed in 2024, and Attorney Hess was previously awarded \$425 per hour for time billed in 2024, less than what is being requested herein. See *Mire v. Sec’y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 20-1449, Slip Op, 63 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. April 4, 2024); *Johnson v. Sec’y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 21-1424, Slip Op, 56 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. May 15, 2024) and *Wagner v. Sec’y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 21-505, Slip Op, 49 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. April 4, 2024). I find no reason to deviate from such reasoned determinations and hereby reduce the 2024 requested rates to be consistent with the aforementioned decisions. This results in a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded of **\$113.50**.<sup>3</sup>

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 49-4. And Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought.

### CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney’s fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT in part Petitioner’s Motion for attorney’s fees and costs. I award a total of **\$27,766.92 (representing \$27,162.40 in fees plus \$604.52 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner’s counsel. Per Petitioner’s request the check is to be made payable to Shannon Law Group, P.C.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> This amount consists of:  $(\$415 - \$410 = \$5 \times 5.70 \text{ hrs.}) + (\$450 - \$425 = \$25 \times 3.40 \text{ hrs.}) = \$113.50$

<sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**

Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master